

MINUTES
TOWN OF CAMP VERDE
SPECIAL SESSION
MAYOR AND COUNCIL
75 E Hollamon Street, Camp Verde, AZ 86322
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2022, at 5:30 P.M.

Note: Council member(s) may attend Council Sessions either in person or by telephone, video, or internet conferencing.

1. Call to Order

Mayor Jenkins called the meeting to order at 5:36 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Mayor Dee Jenkins, Vice Mayor Joe Butner, Councilor Robin Whatley, Councilor Marie Moore, Councilor Cris McPhail, Councilor Jesse Murdock and Councilor Jackie Baker are present.

Also Present

Town Manager Russ Martin, Town Clerk Cindy Pemberton, Deputy Town Clerk Virginia Jones, Administrative Clerk Jadie Edwards and Recording Secretary Jennifer Reed.

3. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilor McPhail led the Pledge.

4. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2022-1098, A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF CAMP VERDE, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA DECLARING AS A PUBLIC RECORD A CERTAIN DOCUMENT FILED WITH THE TOWN CLERK AND ENTITLED "ARENA DEL LOMA REZONE – LETTER OF INTENT, DATED AUGUST 11, 2022, AND PLANNED AREA DEVELOPMENT STIPULATIONS FOR DENSITY AND INTENSITY OF USE AND REQUIRED MITIGATION PLANS" FOR THE ZONING MAP CHANGE) FOR 4.4 +/- ACRES LOCATED AT 1738 NORTH ARENA DEL LOMA ROAD, CAMP VERDE, AZ (APN 404-18-178Q).

Mayor Jenkins stated that Council has received all of the paperwork in the packet which includes attachments and minutes. Mayor addressed the public and asked if they wished to speak they need to fill out a blue card and turn it into the Clerk. She also asked the public to please let Council know if they have a group speaker and/or who they are speaking for. All public that wishes to speak will have the opportunity to have their voices heard. This is a very important discussion with a lot of options to consider.

Community Development Director Jon Knight stated the applicant, Tanner Bryson, requests a Zoning Map change from R1-70 to R1-PAD for approximately 4.4 acres located at 1738 North Arena Del Loma Road. The purpose of the request is to allow the following specified activities and events: equestrian training, roping events, barrel racing, team roping, fundraisers, equestrian show events, potlucks, parties, car shows, and festivals. PAD process set the density and intensity of use and does not set the fine details. Gives the applicant an R1-70 underlay with a PAD overlay. He reviewed the staff report. He also explained the 2016 NOD and the difference between the NOD and a PAD. Council's approval locks in the resolution with conditions. A final site plan will all come back to Council at a later date and will include a schedule of development.

Councilor Baker asked about a septic system and well. Mr. Knight said we don't have details on the septic yet but yes, it does have a well.

Council discussed some of the conditions, including the citation process, the need for a quarterly report and RV's.

Councilor Moore wanted clarity about what constitutes the difference between a major and a minor amendment. The 2016 NOD sounds like a major amendment, did it come before Council. Mr. Knight explained a minor amendment is essentially administrative and a major is anything other than that.

Attorney Bill Sims stated most zoning codes identify as major that have to come back to Council. The current code delegates the Community Development Director the power to determine what's major and what's minor. The benefit of Item 16 is paragraph C, which then says, notwithstanding A & B being major, a Community Development Director determines if a significant impact that concerns the neighbors he then goes to Council.

Mr. Sims also clarified an earlier statement about citations. For instance, he explained what issuing a criminal citation would mean for odor. The 2016 NOD makes it difficult to protect neighbors. He read the standard for odor. The applicant would have to demonstrate that they know how to successfully manage the manure. A citation requires law enforcement to issue it, magistrate would have to hear it, and then the neighbors have to show it causes a substantial discomfort or annoyance to persons of normal sensitivity. This protects the rights of persons who are charged with criminal misconduct. A PAD sets up a course of action that allows a Code Enforcement Officers to step in to say you are not successfully managing the manure.

Councilor Moore asked if Council were to place a PAD, if he is to get any citations, and the PAD goes away, then he has the ability to go back to the 2016 NOD? Mr. Sims said no. The NOD is something required in the code. They would come to Council in future, and say under your code section we have a nonconforming use, we want you to determine that. That only happens if you approve this PAD, they do not perform under the schedule of development. You then hold a hearing to revert to prior zoning. The best way to protect the neighbors, is to insert series of precise protections. Mr. Knight said this sets a parameter then the applicant will come back with a development schedule. We also require mitigation plans for large events, which addresses medical, parking, and dust. A PAD says they have to come back but you are not looking at specifics at this time, you will look at those details later.

Mayor Jenkins requested clarity. She is concerned that Directors change and some items are subjective. Mr. Knight stated he could add significant impact language to the code and clarify some of the other definitions.

Mayor Jenkins asked if a Final Site Plan has been completed yet. Mr. Knight stated it is too early to define parameters. They will have to come back with that later.

Mayor Jenkins asked about the process, if a PAD is approved based on the parameters the Council determines, and then the development plan is prepared and it's not possible to meet parameters in the PAD, what happens then. Mr. Knight stated if it is a reasonable request for change, it can come back to Council. Council could amend the request. If development hasn't happened Council can decide to resend.

Attorney Sims said there are two items before Council tonight, Item #4 and Item #5. Item #4 is simply administrative and doesn't require hearing, it's not an ordinance. It is a mechanism by which you document a document as a public record, that will be on file with the Town Clerk. Then when you adopt the ordinance, which does require a hearing and it is referred to the statute in the agenda item, that's when you will be discussing the subject. You can go ahead and approve Item #4, it has nothing to do with the substance of the Zoning Ordinance. The Zoning Ordinance is Item #5, Item #5 refers back to the ordinance which refers to the statute, and expressly requires a public hearing. There is no need to have two public hearings.

Applicant Comment

Applicant Tanner Bryson will have his attorney clarify some information but first, he feels like there has been a misconception that this is going to be an expansion. He has been on the property for ten years. All activities that have taken place are horse related and community related. He agreed to go through the PAD process in attempt to meet neighbors at least half way. Arena Del Loma is a historic property in the valley and has a lot of history. Children have learned to rope and ride in this arena. No, it doesn't have the same amount of parking as the town arena. It was the arena for 50+ years. It has always been owned by a private entity. It sat in disrepair until he took it over and he is trying to establish a good business model for the community. This will be an upgraded, nicer place when he gets done.

Applicant Attorney Rose Winkler said there have been a lot of questions of the differences between the NOD and the PAD. There are differences between the NOD authority and the PAD authority. The PAD is not an expansion of "use" but a narrowing of "use". She created a hand out for council and the public to see the differences.

Mayor Jenkins asked about event hours and if there would be a limitation on when they should be pulling out. Ms. Winkler said this is consistent with other arenas in the town. It is going to vary. The noise ordinance still applies. Ms. Winkler explained that the PAD is better than what is written in the NOD.

Public Comment:

Sherie Cooley- is concerned about the amount of space for parking, manure, animal count and noise.

Brenda Powell- is concerned about manure, animal count, and the property turning into a money maker. She is ok with the NOD.

Pete Roulette- is concerned about the origin of the NOD. Neighbors' complaints are about the number of animals and the number of RV's. He didn't think the original people who started the arena had any idea that this is going to be turned into commercial entity.

Joanne Jahnke- is opposed to the PAD but didn't want to speak.

Jessica Oium- is for the PAD but didn't want to speak.

Cheri Wischmeyer (also speaking on behalf of John Wischmeyer/Marie DeClue and has 9mins)- Neighbors have expressed concerned about the noise, lighting, smell, insects, RV parking and the increase traffic. They have also expressed concerns with early morning and late night activities, number of animals on property, the size of the property and RV's. Residents do

not want to do away with the historic use. She reviewed the statistic numbers of proposed number of animals with the amount of urine and manure that would be produced per day/month. She requests to keep the NOD.

Mary Phelps- She talked about the culture of the arena. This culture is different. She is in support of Tanner Bryson and the PAD. The Western Way is going away. Kids need a place to go, to practice.

Janet Walther- is against the PAD; it doesn't reflect the Historic Use of original arena. She is concerned with the number of animals (boarded and on the property for events), and number of RV's.

Jake Davis- doesn't have a problem with the amount of RV's; they are for the care taker and instructors. The people who come to events and/or trainings are spending money in town at restaurants and stores. He has personally purchased a horse, and learned to rope at the arena. There are a lot of kids in the community that use the arena to practice.

Jody White- supports Mr. Bryson and the arena.

John Lacy- this way of life is rapidly eroding and said it is sad to see what is happening to Arizona. Mr. Bryson is trying to preserve what is near and dear to hearts and is a wonderful role model to our youth. They are our future. He gave kudos to Mr. Bryson and his attempt to foster the youth.

Lacota Wanaise- Mr. Bryson has improved the property. He supports the PAD and Mr. Bryson.

Charlotte Salsman- is concerned that if the PAD is approved it opens the whole town to have a PAD in other residential areas. She added she is concerned about the lights, too many animals on 4.4 acres, and manure (odor and flies). This was a historical arena and should keep that way.

Chairwomen of Yavapai Apache Nation Tonya Lewis- read a letter that was previously submitted. The Nation is still in opposition to the PAD; RV count, animal count, and manure management. The Nation urges Town Council to reject the PAD.

Glen Allen (also speaking on behalf of Janice Hawk, Martin Geronomo, Eileen Martin, Craig Cooley, Jan Allen, and Cathy Morgan and has 21 mins) is the owner of the property across street and has spoken at last three meetings. He has studied NOD. He objected to this in 2016 and signed an affidavit. They are concerned with the speaker system, manure, odor, lights, loose animals, debris, abandon vehicles, broken down equipment, RV's and no summer shade for the horses. They would like to see the property used as the original use. They do not agree with the current uses taking place on this property. They are concerned with the numerous violations and the increasing chronic abuses. The Town has been complacent; the neighbors have four concerns:

1. Traffic- increasing number of vehicles.
2. Setting a precedence. The Town would be setting a bad precedence if this rezone is granted. The 2016 NOD should guide this entire process. If this rezoning is granted ignoring what neighbors have said, it will be saying to the public if anyone ignore zoning codes that are already in place then future zoning decisions will give way to pressure from others, with their own self-serving property plans ignoring neighborhood feedback. Town zoning regulation

could eventually give way to those who have the most influence regardless of the interest of neighbors who just want to be treated fairly.

3. Non-conforming status- grandfathering, some misinterpreted this as a license to do anything with their property regardless of town code. Grandfathered in this specific case means that the original 1968 limited unique purpose only will always be protected. A small scale nonprofit community based equine property was intended. The Town in no time asked if this parcel be rezoned to the status of agritourism. No notice was given to the neighbors. Adjacent property owners will not be manipulated into believing something that is something else. We will not be gas lighted. We can clearly see what is happening on this property.
4. Trust- no trust. There are many violations and nothing changed.

Mr. Allen said that granting this rezoning request will only lead to the same documented processes. He urged Town Council to reject the PAD.

Christa Brunori- (also speaking on behalf of Rita Aimeen and Anna Tellez and has 9mins) She is in favor of this PAD. This decision should be based on facts. She wanted to make several points:

1. Size of property- Jackpot Ranch, Camp Verde Equestrian Center and the Dynamite Ranch are some properties under five acres. She described the amount of stalls, stock pens, and arena space that are on those successful properties. She also had visual examples she showed to the Council and audience. Arena Del Loma space is sufficient
2. Continued Historical Use- This arena used to be a member only club that rode multiple times a week. It was used for a lot of uses; training and events. Things have changed since the conception of this arena. It actually gives back to the community.
3. Animal count- is currently hosting 30 horses & 50 head of cattle. Here's why:
 - i. Team roping and calf roping are two different events that require different types of cattle,
 - ii. Roping Events requires enough head cattle to rotate as to not over use the animals,
 - iii. boarding facilities require enough stalls to host a customer with an average of 2 horses each. With no other team roping facility in the Verde Valley that brings a lot more attention to Arena Del Loma.
 - iv. A training facility typically allows for 20-40 custom horses. This is outside the allotment of boarding.
 - v. Complaints- This community isn't afraid to lodge complaints. The PAD provides each and every complain on file, even if it has already been addressed. The Town seems to lack the proper resources to keep up with or follow through with the abundance of files with the current NOD in place. The PAD will provide relief to the Town's staff.
4. RV Uses- every facility in Camp Verde, including the Camp Verde Equestrian Center, have multiple long term permanent RV's on site. Camp Verde Equestrian Center has no permit for them. With the style of business being operated, customers will require the ability to stay with their horses. She showed some visuals of three arenas.

She urges Council to consider the facts. This is not spot zoning. This is a happy medium for all neighbors. Look at the entire PAD application.

Jordy Weaver (also speaking on behalf of Tye Reddell and has 6 mins)- has learned a lot from using this arena. Kids are the biggest reason she loves going to the arena. This place is important for these kids: life skills are learned. People seek out places to go to get better training and it brings people to town. She attended a rodeo in California and that was in a multimillion dollar neighborhood. We can do it here in Camp Verde. This change allows us to do this. If Mr.

Bryson leaves, the arena won't last. It will not function without a private entity there running it. The Community needs to embrace the change.

Vice Mayor Butner would like to take a break to be able to confer something that he feels needs addressed immediately with Councilors and the Town's attorney.

Meeting break: 7:32pm

Meeting Resume: 7:43pm

Vice Mayor Butner stated he spoke with the Town attorney about a particular matter of concern. Town Attorney Mr. Sims stated Vice Mayor noticed his name appeared on one of the affidavits in connection with 2016 NOD. He was worried that as a person who submitted an affidavit there might be a conflict. Mr. Sims assured him that simply because he provided factual information as part of the fact-finding measures relating to the 2016 NOD, does not rise to the level of conflict and he can participate in this discussion.

Gail Pate (also speaking on behalf of Janette Corbin and has 6mins)- She is a retired veterinarian and discussed densities: the number of horses per acre and stall spaces. This is considered inhumane. The animal densities that were adopted into town code in 1997 adopted in that were thought to be appropriate then as a blanket protection to protect residential areas. This has lasted 25 years and not being well enforced. She has owned & worked with horses as well as being a veterinarian. She recommends the property be restricted to Town ordinance in regarding livestock densities immediately and any future uses of the property when it is long term keeping of same horses or cattle, such as boarding. The town code permits 9 head of cattle and or horses combined on 4.3 acres. And when the NOD was granted, it was already in violation of town code.

Paul Hawk- left early didn't get a chance to speak

John Bassous (also speaking on behalf of speak on behalf Rod Corbin, Debbie Roulette, and TC Noble and will have 12 mins)- one thing in common is he doesn't hear anyone that wants to get rid of the arena. The benefits are exposing kids to a western lifestyle. Neighbors should have been involved in keeping the western lifestyle; should've been collaborative. We are a community; dialog has been lost. There should be some sort of a middle ground, have honest and open dialog. Neighbors are concerned about RV's, animal counts, manure. He gave kudos to Mr. Bryson about having an event this past weekend and everything went smoothly. Mr. Bassous said there are other ways to pay for an arena. There are nonprofit organizations, donations, and there is a place for a small business. There is common ground. He would like everyone to come to the table and have dialog to see what's best for the community. He even would help look for ways to fund the arena. The neighbors are not here to squash the arena. They would like to participate but not at a level that would adversely affect the community.

Staff

Attorney Bill Sims said Town Code authorizes the Mayor to organize and conduct items on the agenda during the course of the agenda. At the beginning of the reading Resolution 4. The difference between Call to the Public and a Public Hearing, is a Public Hearing gives the property owner the ability to respond to comments. We have some members of the community who have filled out cards to speak on item #5. Attorney Sims is recommending go to Item #5 because they travel together, Item #4 is the procedural that proceeds Item #5, read Item #5, let the Clerk announce those members of the public who wish to comment, then following those

comments, the applicant would have the opportunity to respond, then the Public Hearing would close then Council would act on Item #4 & #5 subsequently.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE 2022-A474, AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF CAMP VERDE, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA, FOR A ZONING MAP CHANGE, SUBJECT TO STIPULATIONS, FROM R1-70 (RESIDENTIAL: SINGLEFAMILY, 70,000 SQUARE FOOT MINIMUM LOT SIZE) TO R1-70/PAD (RESIDENTIAL: SINGLE-FAMILY, 70,000 SQUARE FOOT LOT SIZE/PLANNED AREA DEVELOPMENT) FOR 4.4 +/- ACRES LOCATED AT 1738 NORTH ARENA DEL LOMA ROAD, CAMP VERDE, AZ (APN 404-18-178Q).

Attorney Bill Sims directed the Mayor that now would be the continuation of the Public Hearing that started on Item#4. Town Clerk Cindy Pemberton continued to call those who filled out cards to speak.

Terry Andrews (also speaking on behalf of Marcia Johns and will have 6 mins)- Doesn't support the PAD because they didn't discuss how it would affect the neighbors. They are doing too much on too little. He is also concerned with little research being done, the planned commercial facility and parking.

Charlotte Salsman- The arena is historical but it wasn't intended for late nights, lots of animals, lighting issues, and large vehicles.

Buck Buchanan- left before he had a chance to speak

Gail Pate (also speaking on behalf of Janette Corbin and will have 6mins)- continued with her concern about the animal count. The Code allows 2 animals per acre. Zoning changes a larger number of livestock. She participated in the past to organize events at this arena. It wasn't operated with permanent residences. Animal count of county.

Final Applicant Comments

Mr. Bryson stated he has been on the property for ten years and he's spent thousands of dollars trying to clean the place up. It is still a work in progress. He just got new fences with privacy panels. Community input is important. There was a public hearing for neighbors to give their input. He has a valid NOD; he doesn't have to do this PAD. This is his attempt to appease the neighbors. He took over for a nonprofit that had failed. Most neighbors come and enjoy the arena. Some people that are against the PAD, he has never seen in his life. His intention was to come to the negotiating table and do a good thing for the community. He won't go around with his hand out. It costs about \$60,000 to run the arena for a year.

Staff Comment

Council members discussed the RV's, septic system, parking, fencing, and manure. Mr. Bryson again stated:

1. RV's are only for a caretaker and instructors; it is not an RV park. It is not conducive for instructors to leave their horses on site.
2. Septic- still waiting to hear back from the County
3. Parking- there will be ample parking for events and training sessions
4. Manure- he has already gotten rid of a lot of manure already. He plans to build a block bunk and cover it with a tarp until it is a truck load.
5. Fencing- he is waiting for approval and to see how high it can be.

6. Animal Count- a barn can house up to 80 horses, a lower barn can house up to 40. There will be room for 30-50 cattle for roping. Horses will be in stalls; cattle will be in arena. Pens are estimated to be 12x20 in size.

Mr. Bryson is trying to get this laid out to stop going to town, and having to pay for an attorney. This arena was operating as a private arena since 1963.

Councilor Moore asked about the temporary use permit for the caretaker. Mr. Bryson has made arrangements with Town staff because they were working to resolve some of the problems with the neighbors. There was someone living on site in an RV. Mr. Bryson discussed the need to have someone there to take care of the animals with Mr. Knight. Mr. Knight agreed and he said the Town would suspend a notice of violation until we can get a PAD. One week before the meeting, he received another Notice of Violation for having a caretaker on the property. Secondly, the Town agreed to not to do any surprise inspections. They sent the Town Marshal over to verify if he had a caretaker there. He had to fire the caretaker and lost 3 animals; died.

Councilor Moore asked why he has a temporary Use Permit to house two permanent RV's on the property but he didn't sign it. Attorney Rose Winkler said there was a temporary Use Permit that is not the same one that Mr. Bryson signed but it wasn't included in packet. Ms. Winkler also wanted to clarify the letter received from that Town on July 2, 2021 lists each alleged violations and clarifies what has been cleaned up and it refers to the initial materials that were already included in the initial Notice of Violation.

Councilors are still concerned with animal count, shelters and shade for the summer. They also discussed Mr. Bryson's plans to come into compliance with the Dark Sky Ordinance. Councilors continued to discuss with Mr. Bryson, the size of the property verses what is planned for the property.

Vice Mayor Butner asked about drainage at the facility. Mr. Bryson said he has spent about \$20,000 on drainage; he is trying to fix drainage issues. He built a concrete barrier plus he lowered part of the property.

Councilor Baker agreed with the veterinarian; it doesn't appear that it will be humane treatment for the animals. Mr. Bryson said the horses that come in are expensive horses; he would not treat them inhumanly. Clients are not concerned.

Councilors again talked about the fact that he doesn't have to ask for the PAD, the 2016 NOD is still in place. Moving to the PAD helps him to so he can expand. They also discussed septic again and room for parking. The Final Site plan have all of that information on it in detail.

Commission Discussion

Councilor McPhail had some suggestions for revisions to the recommendations:

1. RV's- recommend two permanents for onsite caretakers; all other RV's be onsite for the duration of events only.
2. Septic system- be installed within an x amount of time for those two caretaker RVs.
3. Large Animals- max number large animals permanently housed will not exceed x. She suggests Council come up with a number. She would propose at least 12 animals; she is open to a different number, animals in excess may be on the property for event purposes. Instead of 115 Council could come up with a number.
4. She is also recommending upgrading animal facilities to provide shade.

5. Manure piles- must be contained in a structure covered with a tarp, she would add manure piles to be managed to mitigate odor.
6. Number of event attendees- shall be limited by the available onsite parking. The applicant shall provide parking of a ratio of one space for every three attendees. Events shall not exceed 150 attendance and hours of operation should be 7am-10pm.

Councilor Moore said a limit of twelve animals is not reasonable. Animals not to exceed 115 on property isn't unreasonable. Manure piles need to be maintained.

Vice Mayor Butner said it comes down to a neighborly setting. Mr. Bryson is trying to make the arena an asset to the community and neighborhood. He suggests the manure be transported out every 30 days.

Councilor Whatley called for the question. She doesn't think there is a compromise.

As to Item#4, **Motion** was made by Councilor Whatley to not approve Resolution 2022-1098, a Resolution of the Mayor and Common Council of the town of Camp Verde, Yavapai County, Arizona declaring as a public record a certain document filed with the Town Clerk and entitled "Arena del Loma Rezone – Letter of Intent, dated August 11, 2022, and Planned Area Development stipulations for density and intensity of use and required mitigation plans" for a zoning map change) for 4.4 +/- acres located at 1738 North Arena del Loma Road, Camp Verde, AZ (APN 404-18-178Q). **Motion** failed for a lack of 2nd.

On Item #4 Councilor Murdock needs clarification to know, in order to move from Item#4 to Item#5, do we need to read and approve the initial resolution then move to the ordinance. Mr. Sims said to do the resolution first. That will incorporate it into the public record. Then Item #4 would be a motion to adopt it into public record. Or Council can table Item#4 go to Item #5 and then go back to Item #4.

Motion was made by Councilor Murdock to table Item# 4 and to continue discussion and consideration and possible approval of Ordinance 202-A47 after discussion. Second was made by Vice Mayor Butner.

Roll Call Vote:

Councilor Baker: aye
Councilor McPhail: aye
Councilor Murdock: aye
Councilor Whatley: nay
Councilor Moore: aye
Vice Mayor Butner: aye
Mayor Jenkins: aye

Motion passes 6-1.

Mayor Jenkins stated we will now move on to Item#5.

Council discussed the issue with parking. They don't want on street parking. Mr. Knight said the Final Site Plan will show parking.

Council discussed the issue with RVs.

Councilor Moore is frustrated that neighbors and the applicant should have had time to figure this out. She prefers to table this or send it back to Planning and Zoning Commission. She doesn't want the neighbors to not be heard.

Discussion occurred about ending the meeting at 10:00pm. Town Code says a meeting must end at 10:00pm.

Item #5-Motion was made by Councilor Murdock to table Item #5 discussion and consideration and possible approval of Ordinance 202-A47 until November 16th at 6:30pm. Second was made by Councilor Baker. Vice Mayor Butner is concerned that his term ends on that date, so that means he will not be hearing this item? Ms. Pemberton stated yes. There is a motion on the floor and called for the vote.

Roll Call Vote:

Councilor Baker: aye
Councilor McPhail: aye
Councilor Murdock: aye
Councilor Whatley: nay
Councilor Moore: aye
Vice Mayor Butner: aye
Mayor Jenkins: aye
Motion passes 6-1.

6. Adjournment

Mayor Jenkins adjourned the meeting at 10:00 p.m.



Mayor Dee Jenkins



Attest: Town Clerk Cindy Pemberton

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing Minutes are a true and accurate accounting of the actions of the Mayor and Common Council of the Town of Camp Verde during the Special Session of the Town Council of Camp Verde, Arizona, held on November 9, 2022. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held, and that a quorum was present.

Dated this 9th day of January, 2023.



Cindy Pemberton, Town Clerk